

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/532,548	GEHLEN ET AL.	
	Examiner SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Response to Non-Final

(1) SATH V. PERUNGAVOOR. (3) _____.

(2) Thomas M. Champagne (Reg. No. 36,478). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2010
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested amendments to claim 1 which would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative agreed. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Sath V Perungavoor/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)